## 安息日における仕事 (民 15:32-36 たきぎ集めの場合)

佐々木哲夫

ABSTRACT Tetsuo SASAKI, "What does שרט signify in Num. 15:34?" The main interpretational question on Num. 15:32-36 has been what Moses asked the Lord about a man who was found gathering wood on the sabbath day. Three kinds of interpretation have been proposed heretofore: Moses asked (1) whether the act of woodgathering profaned the sabbath day or not (i.e. קיג לְתוֹרָה a fence round the Torah by J. Weingreen), (2) whether the death penalty should be applied to him or not (J. Milgrom), and (3) how the death penalty should be be carried out (C. F. Keil and F. Delitzsch and traditional rabbinic interpretations).

Another interpretation can be proposed by the study of the word  $\forall \neg \square$ . The Akkadian cognate *parāsum* (*parāśum*) (*HAL*, 782), whose significance is to establish the plenary case law ("entscheiden" by W. von Soden); e.g. as used in the Code Hammurabi VI:9, sheds light on it. That is, Moses' inquiry of the Lord established a new plenary case law for identifying which labors profaned the sabbath day. Also the word  $\forall \neg \square$  shares the cognate *parāšum* with  $\forall \neg \square$  (*HAL*, 782); that is,  $\forall \neg \square$  and  $\forall \neg \square$  are considered to derived from biconsonantal element  $\neg \square$  (cf. E. Ullendorff). This fact gives another significance to the word  $\forall \neg \square$ : a new plenary case law for identifying the labors profaning the sabbath day is established and made known to all the Israelite people. Moreover, this viewpoint can be applied to other accounts: the blashphemer(Lev. 24:10-23), the unclean men at Passover (Num. 9:6-14), and the daughters of Zelophehad (Num. 27: 1-11).